Representation of spontaneous movement by dopaminergic neurons is cell-type selective and disrupted in parkinsonism

Paul D. Dodson^{a,b,1}, Jakob K. Dreyer^c, Katie A. Jennings^d, Emilie C. J. Syed^a, Richard Wade-Martins^{b,d}, Stephanie J. Cragg^{b,d}, J. Paul Bolam^{a,b}, and Peter J. Magill^{a,b,1}

^aMedical Research Council Brain Network Dynamics Unit, Department of Pharmacology, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3QT, United Kingdom; ^bOxford Parkinson's Disease Centre, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3QX, United Kingdom; ^cDepartment of Neuroscience and Pharmacology, University of Copenhagen, 2200 Copenhagen, Denmark; and ^dDepartment of Physiology, Anatomy and Genetics, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3QX, United Kingdom

Edited by Robert C. Malenka, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, and approved February 11, 2016 (received for review August 11, 2015)

Midbrain dopaminergic neurons are essential for appropriate voluntary movement, as epitomized by the cardinal motor impairments arising in Parkinson's disease. Understanding the basis of such motor control requires understanding how the firing of different types of dopaminergic neuron relates to movement and how this activity is deciphered in target structures such as the striatum. By recording and labeling individual neurons in behaving mice, we show that the representation of brief spontaneous movements in the firing of identified midbrain dopaminergic neurons is cell-type selective. Most dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra pars compacta (SNc), but not in ventral tegmental area or substantia nigra pars lateralis, consistently represented the onset of spontaneous movements with a pause in their firing. Computational modeling revealed that the movement-related firing of these dopaminergic neurons can manifest as rapid and robust fluctuations in striatal dopamine concentration and receptor activity. The exact nature of the movement-related signaling in the striatum depended on the type of dopaminergic neuron providing inputs, the striatal region innervated, and the type of dopamine receptor expressed by striatal neurons. Importantly, in aged mice harboring a genetic burden relevant for human Parkinson's disease, the precise movement-related firing of SNc dopaminergic neurons and the resultant striatal dopamine signaling were lost. These data show that distinct dopaminergic cell types differentially encode spontaneous movement and elucidate how dysregulation of their firing in early Parkinsonism can impair their effector circuits.

Parkinson's disease | dopamine | substantia nigra | ventral tegmental area | alpha-synuclein

opamine is vital for normal motor function, as exemplified by the motor deficits arising from the dysfunction/degeneration of midbrain dopaminergic neurons in Parkinson's disease (PD). One prevailing view is that midbrain dopaminergic neurons guide purposeful actions through encoding value, for example, by conveying the difference between expected and actual reward (1-3). Although this function has been ascribed to all midbrain dopaminergic neurons, there is considerable functional heterogeneity across different cell populations in the ventral tegmental area (VTA; A10) and the substantia nigra pars compacta (SNc; A9) (4-7). For example, some dopaminergic neurons respond to novel or salient events or during cognitive processes such as decision making and working memory (6, 8–10). Moreover, although the firing of these dopaminergic neurons generally has been thought not to vary consistently with movement (3, 11), there is evidence that the activity of putatively classified dopaminergic neurons can change during movement execution in a heterogeneous manner (12-16). This evidence, in turn, raises the possibilities that at least some types of movement might be differentially encoded by the firing of distinct populations of dopaminergic neuron and that dysregulation of such activity might contribute to motor impairment in PD before, or commensurate with, frank neurodegeneration.

CrossMark

To investigate whether and how different types of midbrain dopaminergic neurons represent movement, we recorded the firing of single dopaminergic neurons in awake, head-fixed mice during rest and spontaneous movement and then juxtacellularly labeled each recorded neuron to verify its cell type. We observed that identified SNc dopaminergic neurons typically paused their firing at the onset of movement, but VTA dopaminergic neurons did not. Using in silico simulations of dopamine-release dynamics, we show that brief, movement-related changes in dopaminergic neuron firing can be reliably "read out" in the striatum as robust changes in dopamine concentration and receptor signaling. Notably, movement-related pauses in SNc neuron firing and the resultant changes in dopamine signaling were lost in parkinsonian mice, further supporting a role for this patterned activity in movement.

Results

To define the activity of dopaminergic neurons with high spatiotemporal resolution during rest and movement, we extracellularly recorded action potentials fired by individual cells in untrained, head-fixed mice. Mice were placed on a running wheel, and recordings were made during rest or during brief (<1-s) spontaneous movements in which the mouse altered its position

Significance

Deciphering the roles of midbrain dopaminergic neurons in the control of movement is critical not only for understanding of normal motor function but also for defining the basis of motor dysfunction in Parkinson's disease. However the activity of these neurons generally has been considered to be unrelated to movement. Here we demonstrate that dopaminergic neurons signal the onset of spontaneous movement in a cell-typeselective manner and that these signals can be read out in transmitter and receptor activity dynamics in the striatum, one of their principal targets. Importantly, these movement-related signals were lost in a mouse model of Parkinson's disease. Together, these data suggest that movement-related firing of dopaminergic neurons is important for precise motor control.

www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1515941113

Author contributions: P.D.D. and P.J.M. conceived and designed research with input from J.K.D., K.A.J., E.C.J.S., R.W.-M., S.J.C., and J.P.B.; P.D.D. performed all electrophysiological experiments and related analyses; J.K.D. performed computational modeling; K.A.J. performed voltammetry experiments; and P.D.D. wrote the paper with input from J.K.D., K.A.J., E.C.J.S., R.W.-M., S.J.C., J.P.B., and P.J.M.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission

¹To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: paul.dodson@pharm.ox.ac.uk or peter.magill@pharm.ox.ac.uk.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10 1073/pnas.1515941113/-/DCSupplemental.

on the running wheel in the absence of any overt reward or other external cue (17). We focused our analyses on such movements for two reasons: (*i*) to avoid confounds arising from the challenges of distinguishing movement-related neuronal activity from that related to reward and/or external cues, and (*ii*) because brief movements were less likely to destabilize single-cell recordings and thus facilitated the subsequent juxtacellular labeling of neurons

with Neurobiotin, which was used to locate recorded neurons unambiguously and to determine whether they were dopaminergic by post hoc assessment of tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) immunoreactivity (Fig. 1*A*). We reasoned that, despite the heterogeneous kinematics of such brief voluntary movements, any consistent neuronal responses that emerged would reflect general organizational or coding principles of dopaminergic neurons. In support of this notion,



peri-event time histogram (PETH) (*Lower Right*) with the corresponding raster plot from an identified dopaminergic SNc neuron (*Upper Right*) during rest and spontaneous movement, the latter denoted by black bars, determined from video and EMG activity. The ends of individual movement epochs are denoted in rasters by red lines, and the mean duration of movement is indicated by gray shading. (*Left*) After recording, each neuron was juxtacellularly labeled with Neurobiotin (Nb) to identify its dopaminergic nature (by immunoreactivity to TH) and to confirm its location. (Scale bar, 20μ m.) (*B–D*) Mean normalized PETHs \pm SEM. On average, SNc neurons (*n* = 15) transiently increased their activity just before movement and then paused their firing at the movement onset (*B*); 11

SNc neurons significantly decreased their rate during movement onset (C), and four did not change their rate significantly (D). (E) Mean interspike intervals (ISIs) during the baseline, premovement (ISIs ending in the 100 ms before movement), and movement (ISIs starting in the 100 ms preceding movement and ending after movement onset) periods. The ISI during movement onset was significantly longer than baseline ISIs [P < 0.01, n = 11 neurons that met analysis criteria (SI Materials and Methods), one-way repeated-measures ANOVA with Dunnett's post hoc comparison]. (F and G) Firing rate (F) and variability quantified by CV2 (G) of all SNc neurons (n = 16) during alert rest. (H) Schematic coronal sections denoting locations within the SNc of all recorded and identified dopaminergic neurons. Adapted from ref. 50. The distance from bregma is shown on left. D, dorsal; L, lateral; PBP, parabrachial pigmented area of

PNAS PLUS

Down



the VTA. Data are presented as mean \pm SEM; *P < 0.05; ns, not significant.

PNAS | Published online March 21, 2016 | E2181 WWW.MANATAA.COM we observed that the majority of identified SNc dopaminergic neurons dramatically and consistently reduced their firing rate at movement onset (Fig. 1 A and B).

The Firing Rate of Most SNc Dopaminergic Neurons Decreases at Movement Onset. To compare movement-related changes in firing rate between neurons, we converted firing rates to z-scores; when all SNc neurons (n = 15) were considered together, they exhibited a significant decrease in their mean population firing rate at movement onset (Fig. 1B). When considered individually, 11 of 15 SNc dopaminergic neurons showed significant decreases in firing rate during movement onset (defined as the first 160 ms of each movement) (Fig. 1C); the remaining four neurons showed no change during the onset period (Fig. 1D). A minority of all SNc neurons (4/15) exhibited significant rate increases during the premovement period (160 ms immediately preceding movement); however, these neurons also exhibited decreases in mean firing at movement onset (Fig. S1A). Importantly, the occurrence of a movement-related pause in firing was not dependent on any premovement rate increase (Fig. S1B), suggesting that the pause was not simply a refractory period following any increased firing just before movement. Comparison of interspike intervals (ISIs) confirmed a genuine pause in firing (Fig. 1E): The mean ISI during movement onset was significantly longer than that during baseline, but baseline and premovement ISIs were similar. To examine further whether the firing-rate variations of SNc neurons around brief movements were sufficiently distinct from stochastic rate changes occurring between movements, we analyzed the area under the receiver operating characteristic (AUROC) curve of each SNc neuron to test whether the firing rate of each neuron could be used to correctly classify the occurrence of spontaneous movements. The firing of most SNc neurons (11/15) predicted movement significantly above chance (mean AUROC of 0.65 ± 0.02 ; n = 11), suggesting that their firing-rate variations around movement are distinct enough to encode information.

We also explored whether SNc dopaminergic neurons represented the end of a movement, but we found no significant changes in firing rate following movement (Fig. S24), suggesting that the activity we observe specifically signals the onset of movement rather than indiscriminately representing a transition between states of mobility and immobility. To examine whether decreases in SNc neuron firing rates at movement onset were specific to brief movements, we also analyzed neuronal activity recorded during longer spontaneous movements (>1 s), which typically involved the animal walking or running on the wheel. The decreased firing of SNc neurons that occurred at the onset of brief movements also occurred at the onset of the longduration movements (Fig. S2B), confirming that movement representation by SNc neurons extends to different types of spontaneous movement. We recorded neurons at different locations within the SNc (Fig. 1H) but found no significant relationships between the firing properties and the mediolateral (ML) or anteroposterior (AP) SNc locations of the neurons we sampled (Fig. S3). Taken together, these data show that most SNc dopaminergic neurons encode spontaneous movement with a pause in firing.

Distinct Dopaminergic Cell Types Differentially Encode Movement. Experiments in primates have shown that the responses of putatively classified dopaminergic neurons to task-related stimuli vary according to the neurons' location along an ML axis (4, 8). We hypothesized that the encoding of spontaneous movement by dopaminergic neurons might be cell-type selective. The precise localization of recorded neurons (afforded by juxtacellular labeling) allowed us to test this hypothesis unambiguously. Thus, in addition to SNc neurons, we also recorded responses from dopaminergic neurons in the lateral VTA (the parabrachial pigmented area) (Fig. 2 A, B, and G) and the substantia nigra pars lateralis (SNL) (Fig. 2 C, D, and G). Dopaminergic SNc neurons predominantly innervate the dorsal striatum, whereas lateral VTA neurons preferentially project to the nucleus accumbens, and SNL neurons project to several limbic targets (18). During periods of alert rest, VTA and SNL neurons fired at rates similar to those of SNc neurons (Figs. 1F and 2E; P > 0.05, n = 14 VTA neurons, 5 SNL neurons, and 16 SNc neurons, one-way ANOVA), but the firing of SNL neurons was significantly more irregular [as assessed by the coefficient of variation of the ISI (CV2)] than that of SNc neurons (P < 0.05, ANOVA on ranks with Dunn's post hoc test) (Figs. 1G and 2F). Unlike SNc neurons, neither VTA nor SNL neurons showed significant average responses during the movementonset period (Fig. 2 B and D). A minority of VTA dopaminergic neurons (5/14) and most SNL neurons (4/5) increased their firing rate significantly immediately preceding movement (Fig. S1), resulting in average premovement increases at the population level (Fig. 2 B and D). These differences in the timing, polarities, and relative magnitudes of responses of SNc, VTA, and SNL neurons did not arise from any systematic differences in the movements recorded with each cell type (average duration of movement: P > 0.05, ANOVA on ranks). Taken together, these data indicate that the firing of midbrain dopaminergic neurons around spontaneous movements is cell-type selective.

Brief Pauses in SNc Neuron Firing Cause Transient Reductions in Striatal Dopamine Levels. It is important to understand whether behavior-related changes in the firing of populations of dopaminergic neurons translate to fluctuations in striatal dopamine concentration. Currently, in vivo detection of increases and decreases of extracellular dopamine concentration at subsecond resolution [i.e., with fast-scan cyclic voltammetry (FCV)] has not been well established in the dorsal striatum. To overcome this limitation, we used a biophysical computational model of dopamine release recently developed for rat striatum (19–21). We adjusted SNc neuron innervation to model the dorsolateral mouse striatum (22) but left all other parameters unchanged. Using the spike trains of all our recorded SNc neurons as inputs for the model, we examined how SNc neuron firing shaped dopamine release relative to movement (Fig. 3A). The model predicted that the baseline firing of SNc neurons results in a dopamine tone of ~ 60 nM (Fig. 3B). Transient increases in the average firing of SNc neurons immediately preceding movement (see above) caused a significant increase in dopamine concentration (~20 nM). This increase was followed by a significant decrease in dopamine (~20 nM below baseline) during movement onset (Fig. 3B), i.e., the point at which SNc neurons paused. To test whether such decreases were biologically plausible, we used FCV to measure the extracellular dopamine concentration in the dorsal striatum ex vivo (evoked by local stimulation at 6 Hz to approximate the baseline firing rate of SNc dopaminergic neurons; see Fig. 1F). Brief pauses in stimulation [similar in duration to the ISI during movement onset (Fig. 1E)] resulted in significant decreases in the extracellular dopamine concentration (~20 nM) (Fig. S4), indicating that movement-related pauses in neuron firing can indeed be reported as changes in striatal dopamine.

Our model predicts that movement-related firing of SNc neurons will alter dorsal striatal dopamine levels immediately before and during movement onset. However, the effect that this alteration has on striatal neurons will depend on the dopamine receptors that they express. Striatal spiny projection neurons (SPNs) can be grossly subdivided into direct pathway SPNs (dSPNs), which express D1 dopamine receptors, and indirect pathway SPNs (iSPNs) that express D2 receptors (23). Although both D1 and D2 receptors can exist in high-affinity and low-affinity states (24), intracellular signaling cascades in dSPNs appear to be activated by high levels of dopamine via D1 receptors, whereas intracellular signaling in iSPNs is inhibited by basal levels of dopamine acting



Fig. 2. The firing rate of VTA and SNL dopaminergic neurons does not change during movement onset. (*A* and *C*) Examples of single-unit activities and PETHs from identified dopaminergic neurons in the VTA (*A*) and SNL (*C*). (Scale bars, 20 μ m.) (*B* and *D*) Mean normalized PETHs of all dopaminergic neurons in the VTA (*B*) and SNL (*D*). On average, neurons transiently increased their firing rates just before movement but did not significantly change firing during the movement period itself (gray shading). (*E* and *F*) Mean firing rate (*E*) and regularity of firing rate (*F*) of VTA and SNL dopaminergic neurons during alert rest (*n* = 14 VTA neurons and 5 SNL neurons). (*G*) Schematic coronal sections denoting the locations of all recorded and identified neurons in the VTA (purple) or SNL (orange). Data are presented as mean \pm SEM.

at D2 receptors (25, 26). We therefore used the model to examine how the predicted movement-related changes in striatal dopamine concentration would activate low-affinity (EC₅₀ = 1 μ M) D1 receptors and high-affinity (EC₅₀ = 10 nM) D2 receptors. The predicted activity of D1 receptors closely matched the dopamine concentration profile, resulting in a small but significant increase in D1 receptor activity preceding movement followed by a significant decrease during movement onset (Fig. 3C). Because D2 receptor activity was high at rest, the increase in dopamine concentration preceding movement was not matched by a significant increase in D2 receptor activity (Fig. 3D). However, the predicted decrease in dopamine concentration during movement onset resulted in a proportionally larger decrease in D2 receptor activity (~15%) (Fig. 3D). It recently has been demonstrated that D2 receptors coupled to exogenous G protein-coupled inwardly rectifying potassium channels can exist in a low-affinity state (27). Our model indicates that any low-affinity D2 receptors would be sensitive not only to the pause in SNc neuron firing but also to the premovement increase in dopamine

The movement-related responses of VTA neurons differed from those of SNc neurons. Therefore we modeled how VTA neuron firing would affect dopamine signaling in their principal target, the nucleus accumbens. In contrast to the scenario simulated for the dorsal striatum receiving SNc neuron inputs, dopamine concentration and D1 receptor activity in the nucleus accumbens peaked during movement, but D2 receptor activity was unchanged during movement (Fig. S5). Taken together, these data illustrate how brief, movement-related changes in the firing rates of midbrain dopaminergic neurons can lead to rapid and robust changes in striatal dopamine signaling. However, our data reiterate that the precise nature of movement-related signaling depends on the type of neuron providing inputs (SNc vs. VTA), the striatal region innervated (dorsal striatum vs. accumbens), and the type of dopamine receptor expressed by striatal neurons (D1 vs. D2).

Movement-Related Pauses in SNc Neuron Firing Are Lost in Parkinsonian Mice. Our experiments described above indicate that the movementrelated pauses in SNc neuron firing and the associated changes in

Down

Dodson et al.



Fig. 3. Movement-related firing of SNc neurons significantly alters dopamine signaling in the dorsal striatum. (*A*) Schematic of the computational model of dorsal striatal dopamine signaling. Dopamine release and receptor activity in an ~25- μ m³ area of the dorsal striatum (DS) was modeled using movement-related activity from each recorded SNc neuron (*n* = 15) as exemplified by snapshot concentration plots. Single-neuron responses were then averaged to generate population-level estimates of dopamine concentration. NAc, nucleus accumbens. (*B*) Mean peri-movement dopamine concentrations. Note the decrease in dopamine timed with movement onset. (*C* and *D*) Peri-movement activity profiles of low-affinity D1 dopamine receptors (*C*) and high-affinity D2 dopamine receptors (*D*). Mean response \pm SEM is plotted on the left axes; the z-score is plotted on the right axes.

striatal dopamine release could be important for signaling movement. Thus, one might expect that such firing patterns would be altered when movement and dopamine neuron function are abnormal, e.g., in PD. To test this prediction, we used a transgenic mouse model of PD (SNCA-OVX mice) in which moderate overexpression of human α-synuclein (a human-disease-relevant genetic burden) leads to a slow, progressive phenotype that recapitulates many of the cardinal features of PD (28). Although aged SNCA-OVX mice have normal gross motor function (i.e., they perform spontaneous movements), they have impaired motor precision, resulting in foot-slips on the balance beam (28). To assess the neural representation of movement in these parkinsonian mice, we recorded and labeled SNc dopaminergic neurons in aged (23- to 27month-old) SNCA-OVX mice and their littermate controls (Sncamice). We have reported previously that the mean firing rate of SNc neurons is ~30% lower in anesthetized SNCA-OVX mice than in littermate controls (28), and we found that this phenotype was maintained in awake mice during alert rest (Fig. S6). At movement onset, SNc neurons in Snca^{-/-} littermate controls exhibited the same average reduction in firing rate seen in wild-type mice (P >0.05, n = 15 neurons in wild-type mice and 11 neurons in Snca^{-/-} mice, Mann-Whitney rank sum test), with 8 of 11 neurons showing significant decreases in firing rate (Fig. 4 A and B). Correspondingly, the mean ISI of these neurons during movement onset also was longer than the ISIs during baseline and premovement periods (Fig. 4E). In contrast to control mice, the mean movement-related firing of SNc neurons in the parkinsonian SNCA-OVX mice was not significantly different from baseline (Fig. 4D). Furthermore, ISIs at movement onset were not significantly longer than at baseline (Fig. 4F). Only 4 of 12 SNc neurons in SNCA-OVX mice exhibited significant decreases in rate, with the remaining neurons showing either no movement-related changes (5/12) or aberrant rate increases at movement onset (3/12; such increases were not observed in wildtype or $Snca^{-/-}$ mice). To ensure that this loss of movement-related reduction in the firing of *SNCA*-OVX dopaminergic neurons was not the result of a floor effect from their lower firing rates, we calculated the threshold rate that each neuron would need to cross to reach significance. For 11 of 12 neurons, the threshold was above the lowest mean firing rate observed in *SNCA*-OVX mice during onset, suggesting that they had not hit a floor in their firing rate (the remaining neuron increased its firing rate significantly at onset). In summary, these data provide the first direct evidence, to our knowledge, that the real-time encoding of behavior by the firing of surviving dopaminergic neurons is perturbed in parkinsonism.

Defining how altered movement-related firing of dopaminergic neurons affects striatal dopamine dynamics is essential for understanding the neuronal basis of the motor symptoms of PD. We therefore input spike trains recorded from all SNc neurons in littermate control and parkinsonian mice into our computational model. Dopamine signaling modeled in the dorsal striatum of control $Snca^{-/-}$ control mice was similar to that in wild-type mice, with striatal dopamine concentration, D1 receptor activity, and D2 receptor activity decreasing at movement onset (Fig. 5 A-C). However, when dopamine signaling was modeled using spike trains recorded from SNCA-OVX mice, not only was the dopamine tone lower at rest (as would be expected, given the lower firing rate of SNc neurons in these mice), but also there were no significant movement-related decreases in dopamine concentration or receptor activity (Fig. 5 A-C). These findings suggest that in the parkinsonian mice the loss of movement-related dopaminergic neuron firing is reflected in the striatum as abnormally static dopamine release during movement. Aged SNCA-OVX mice not only exhibit altered SNc neuron firing but also lose ~30% of their dopaminergic SNc neurons, and their ability to release dopamine in the dorsal striatum is impaired (28). To examine how these two additional deficits might interact with aberrant movement-related SNc neuron firing in parkinsonian mice, we incorporated these abnormalities into the model. The cumulative effect was even lower dopamine tone and receptor activity and further blunting of the modeled movement-related dopamine signaling in the striatum (Fig. 5 D–F).

Discussion

Here, we define changes in the firing of neurochemically identified dopaminergic neurons around the onset of spontaneous movement. We show a pause in firing at movement onset in SNc neurons and an increase in the mean activity of VTA and SNL neurons just before movement. Importantly, our in silico modeling predicts that these movement-related changes in SNc neuron firing will be read out in the dorsal striatum as rapid and robust changes in dopamine concentration and receptor signaling. Moreover, the movement-related pause in SNc neuron firing and resultant changes in dopamine signaling are lost in parkinsonian mice, suggesting that these fine temporal dynamics are important for motor control.

Although the role of dopaminergic neurons in encoding reward, salience, and aversion is well established, the prevailing view from task-based recordings in primates is that dopaminergic neuron firing does not systematically change on fine time scales during movement (3). However, recent reports suggest that the firing of putative dopaminergic neurons in both rodents and primates is altered during trained movements (12-15). Our data show that the activity of identified dopaminergic neurons (in the SNc, VTA, and SNL) also changes around the spontaneous movements made by untrained mice in the absence of any overt cues or reward. Recordings of putative dopaminergic neurons have reported diversity in the polarity of movement-related rate changes, with some neurons increasing and others decreasing their firing rate (14, 15). Framing such diversity in terms of identified cell types is clearly important. By unambiguously defining the locations and neurochemical properties of our recorded neurons

Dodson et al.

20 M



Fig. 4. Dopaminergic SNc neurons in parkinsonian mice do not reliably represent movement onset in their firing rates. (A and C) Examples of single-unit activities and PETHs from identified dopaminergic SNc neurons in 2-year-old Snca-/- littermate controls (A) and SNCA-OVX parkinsonian mice (C). (B and D) Mean PETHs show that, on average, the firing rate of SNc neurons in $Snca^{-/-}$ mice (n = 11 neurons) decreased significantly at movement onset (B), but there was no significant change in the firing rate of SNc neurons in SNCA-OVX mice (n = 12 neurons) (D). (E) Mean ISIs of neurons in Snca^{-/-} mice during the baseline, premovement, and movement periods (defined as in Fig. 1); ISIs were significantly longer during movement than at baseline (P < 0.001, n = 8 neurons, one-way repeated-measures ANOVA with Dunnett's post hoc comparison). (F) ISIs were not significantly different in SNCA-OVX parkinsonian mice (P > 0.05, n = 6 neurons, one-way repeated-measures ANOVA). (G) Schematic coronal sections denoting locations of recorded and labeled neurons within the SNC [n = 13neurons from Snca^{-/-} mice (red) and 14 neurons from SNCA-OVX mice (green)]. Data are presented as mean ± SEM; ***P < 0.001; ns, not significant.

(using juxtacellular labeling), we demonstrate that distinct dopaminergic cell types respond differently during discrete phases of movement: SNc neurons represent the onset of movement with a pause in their firing, whereas VTA and SNL neurons show no change in firing rate during this period. Dopaminergic neurons exhibit heterogeneity not only in their properties and connectivity (5–7, 29–32) but also functionally, with medially located neurons signaling value and more lateral neurons encoding salience and cognitive significance (4, 8, 33). Here, we advance the notion of functional heterogeneity by showing that some modalities encoded by dopaminergic neurons can be defined according to wellcircumscribed subpopulations rather than as a spatial gradient in signaling.

Previous work has shown that some dopaminergic neurons signal aversion (or cues predicting it) through a reduction in firing rate (5, 34, 35). Several lines of evidence suggest that the movementassociated decreases in the firing of SNc neurons that we observed are not related to aversion or a negative prediction error. First, compared with VTA neurons (4, 6, 34-36), SNc neurons exhibit relatively poor encoding of aversive stimuli and negative prediction errors (37-39). Moreover, we observed movementrelated decreases in the firing of SNc neurons but not of VTA neurons, the opposite of what would be expected if the pauses were encoding aversion. Second, not only did fewer SNc neurons in parkinsonian mice display movement-related decreases in firing; some neurons actually increased firing. If pauses in firing were encoding aversion or prediction errors, one would expect to observe the same pauses in SNCA-OVX mice, because these mice do not have abnormal anxiety or cognitive phenotypes (28). Thus, our findings support work showing that some dopaminergic

Down

Dodson et al.

NEUROSCIENCE



Fig. 5. Movement-related changes in striatal dopamine signaling are lost in parkinsonian mice. (*A*, *Upper*) Dorsal striatal dopamine concentrations (mean responses \pm SEM) simulated using movement-related activity from SNc neurons recorded in Snca^{-/-} littermate controls (red) or in parkinsonian *SNCA*-OVX mice (green). (*Lower*) Corresponding z-scores. (*B* and *C*, *Upper*) Activity of low-affinity D1 receptors (*B*) and high-affinity D2 dopamine receptors (*C*). (*Lower*) Corresponding z-scores. (*D*–*F*) Dopamine concentration (*D*), D1 receptor activity (*E*), and D2 receptor activity (*F*) modeled with parameters adjusted to match deficits present in aged *SNCA*-OVX mice (the abnormally low firing rate of SNc neurons plus a 30% reduction in dopamine release and dopaminergic innervation).

neurons can use decreases in firing rate for encoding information (4, 6, 34–36) and extend this concept to include their representation of spontaneous movement. It would be important to test in the future whether our findings extend to trained animals performing movement sequences embedded in a temporal framework of cues and rewards (e.g., in operant tasks).

Because dopaminergic neurons fire in the absence of excitatory synaptic inputs (7), the movement-related reduction in firing rate of SNc neurons is likely to be mediated by increased inhibitory input rather than by suppression of excitatory drive (40). Around 50% of synapses made with SNc neurons are GABAergic (41), and these originate from numerous sources including the substantia nigra pars reticulata (SNr), the globus pallidus, the superior colliculus, the rostromedial tegmental nucleus, and SPNs located in striosomes (33, 42). However, it has yet to be determined which of these diverse afferents convey appropriately timed, movement-related signals to inhibit dopaminergic neuron firing.

Because reduced dopamine levels in PD are ostensibly antikinetic, one might have expected a priori that dopaminergic neurons would increase their firing during movement; instead, we find movement-related decreases in SNc neuron firing. What then is the role of these movement-related pauses in firing? *SNCA*-OVX mice show a loss of movement-related SNc neuron firing in association with a loss of motor precision; however, these mice, which model early stages of parkinsonism, do not show gross motor abnormalities. As such, pauses in firing may not be necessary for the initiation of movement but instead might be important for the precision of movement. Although dopamine is generally thought to play an indirect modulatory role in shaping the accuracy of future movements (43), it is worth noting that the timescale at which dopamine acts at downstream molecular effectors [with activation of striatal D2 autoreceptors or potassium channels occurring at around 50 ms (27, 44)] is consistent with dopamine's having a role in supporting the selection of ongoing movements. Our computational model indicates that dorsal striatal D2 receptor activity would be disproportionately impacted by pauses in SNc neuron firing, thereby reducing D2 receptor-mediated suppression of iSPN activity in the dorsal striatum. In models of action selection (45, 46), such disinhibition is thought to suppress competing movements, and thus pauses in firing could act to maintain movement precision. This said, our data also suggest this scheme might not hold in the ventral striatum; the representation of movement by VTA and SNc dopaminergic neurons is different, and the resultant dopamine signaling in the nucleus accumbens should be distinct from that in the dorsal striatum. Moreover, accumbens SPNs are not as clearly organized into direct and indirect pathways (47). Further complexity arises from the recent finding that dopaminergic VTA and SNc neurons also corelease GABA (48). Although the influence of GABA release caused by baseline firing of dopaminergic neurons in vivo is not yet clear, one might expect GABA release resulting from premovement SNc neuron firing to contribute to the inhibition of both dSPNs and

E2186 |

Downl

NEUROSCIENCE

iSPNs, which then would be disinhibited at movement onset by the pause in SNc neuron firing. Such patterns of inhibition might be expected to sharpen and coordinate dSPN and iSPN activity.

In conclusion, we show that midbrain dopaminergic neurons can encode spontaneous movement with temporally precise changes in their firing and that such encoding is cell-type selective. Neurons located in the SNc, the dopaminergic cell population that is particularly vulnerable to degeneration in PD (49), signal movement onset with a pause in firing, whereas more resistant populations (in the VTA and SNL) do not. Alteration of the movement-related firing of SNc neurons and the resultant loss of dopamine signaling in experimental parkinsonism suggest that the activity dynamics we define here are important for the control of voluntary movement.

Materials and Methods

All experimental procedures on animals were conducted in accordance with the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act, 1986 (United Kingdom), and approved by local ethical review at the Department of Pharmacology, University of Oxford. Experiments were performed using 3- to 4-month-old male C57Bl6/J mice or 23- to 27-month-old male SNCA-OVX mice and male Snca^{-/-} littermates.

In Vivo Electrophysiological Recording, Juxtacellular Labeling, and Data Analysis. Extracellular recordings were made from individual dopaminergic neurons in head-fixed mice positioned on an Ethofoam running wheel (17). After recording, each neuron was juxtacellularly labeled with Neurobiotin (17, 28). After perfuse fixation, free-floating coronal sections (50 µm) were prepared, and Neurobiotin-labeled neurons were revealed with Cy3-conjugated streptavidin and tested for expression of TH by indirect immunofluorescence (SI Materials and Methods). To examine the movement-related firing of dopaminergic neurons, we focused our analyses on brief, self-initiated, spontaneous movements which occurred as a result of the animal adjusting its position on the wheel. Such movements were defined as those involving

- 1. Montague PR, Dayan P, Sejnowski TJ (1996) A framework for mesencephalic dopamine systems based on predictive Hebbian learning. J Neurosci 16(5):1936–1947.
- 2. Schultz W, Dayan P, Montague PR (1997) A neural substrate of prediction and reward. Science 275(5306):1593-1599.
- 3. Schultz W (2007) Behavioral dopamine signals. Trends Neurosci 30(5):203-210.
- 4. Matsumoto M, Hikosaka O (2009) Two types of dopamine neuron distinctly convey positive and negative motivational signals. Nature 459(7248):837-841.
- 5. Lammel S, Lim BK, Malenka RC (2014) Reward and aversion in a heterogeneous midbrain dopamine system. Neuropharmacology 76(Pt B):351-9.
- 6. Bromberg-Martin ES, Matsumoto M, Hikosaka O (2010) Dopamine in motivational control: Rewarding, aversive, and alerting. Neuron 68(5):815-834.
- 7. Roeper J (2013) Dissecting the diversity of midbrain dopamine neurons. Trends Neurosci 36(6):336-342.
- 8. Matsumoto M, Takada M (2013) Distinct representations of cognitive and motivational signals in midbrain dopamine neurons. Neuron 79(5):1011-1024.
- 9. Horvitz JC (2000) Mesolimbocortical and nigrostriatal dopamine responses to salient non-reward events. Neuroscience 96(4):651-656.
- 10. Morris G, Nevet A, Arkadir D, Vaadia E, Bergman H (2006) Midbrain dopamine neurons encode decisions for future action. Nat Neurosci 9(8):1057-1063.
- 11. DeLong MR, Crutcher MD, Georgopoulos AP (1983) Relations between movement and single cell discharge in the substantia nigra of the behaving monkey. J Neurosci 3(8):1599-1606.
- 12. Jin X, Costa RM (2010) Start/stop signals emerge in nigrostriatal circuits during sequence learning. Nature 466(7305):457-462.
- 13. Barter JW. Castro S. Sukharnikova T. Rossi MA. Yin HH (2014) The role of the substantia nigra in posture control. Eur J Neurosci 39(9):1465-1473.
- 14. Fan D, Rossi MA, Yin HH (2012) Mechanisms of action selection and timing in substantia nigra neurons. J Neurosci 32(16):5534-5548.
- 15. Schultz W, Ruffieux A, Aebischer P (1983) The activity of pars compacta neurons of the monkey substantia nigra in relation to motor activation. Exp Brain Res 51:377-387.
- 16. Barter JW, et al. (2015) Beyond reward prediction errors: The role of dopamine in movement kinematics. Front Integr Nuerosci 9(May):39.
- 17. Dodson PD, et al. (2015) Distinct developmental origins manifest in the specialized encoding of movement by adult neurons of the external globus pallidus. Neuron 86(2).501-513
- 18. Björklund A, Dunnett SB (2007) Dopamine neuron systems in the brain: An update. Trends Neurosci 30(5):194-202.
- 19. Dreyer JK, Herrik KF, Berg RW, Hounsgaard JD (2010) Influence of phasic and tonic dopamine release on receptor activation. J Neurosci 30(42):14273-14283.
- 20. Dreyer JK, Hounsgaard J (2013) Mathematical model of dopamine autoreceptors and uptake inhibitors and their influence on tonic and phasic dopamine signaling. I Neurophysiol 109(1):171–182.
- 21. Drever JK (2014) Three mechanisms by which striatal denervation causes breakdown of dopamine signaling. J Neurosci 34(37):12444-12456

Dodson et al.

forelimb movement (determined from video recordings) and with a duration of <1 s. Movement periods were determined using a combination of electromyography (EMG) (measured from cervical muscles) and videos of behavior (30 frames/s). Only neurons recorded during the spontaneous execution of five or more such movement periods were considered for further analysis of movement-related firing. Changes in movement-related activity were considered significant when the firing rate crossed a threshold of the baseline mean \pm 2 SD during the defined movement period.

Computational Model of Striatal Dopamine Transmission. We used a computational model of dopamine volume transmission to calculate the extracellular dopamine levels and estimate the activation of postsynaptic signaling cascades (19, 20). The model was driven by spike input of an ensemble of recorded dopaminergic neurons (SI Materials and Methods). All movement epochs were averaged to determine the mean single-cell response; then all responses were averaged to obtain mean dopamine concentrations and D1 and D2 receptor activities. In the model, peak dopamine release and uptake scales with the density of release sites; to model the intact mouse dorsolateral striatum, we adjusted innervation to 0.19 terminals/µm³, which gave a volume-averaged uptake $V_{max} = 7.4 \ \mu M/s$ and a dopamine transient evoked by a single pulse of 260 nM (22). To model the ~30% reduction of evoked dopamine release and ~30% loss of dopaminergic SNc neurons that develop in aged SNCA-OVX mice (28), we reduced the probability of vesicular maximal release from 15 to 10% and the number of neurons driving the model by 30%.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank D. Main for performing pilot in vitro voltammetry experiments; A. Kaufmann, J. Kaufling, A. Sharott, and M. Walton for comments on a draft manuscript; and E. Norman, L. Conyers, M. Cioroch, H. Zhang, and J. Janson for expert technical assistance. This work was supported by Medical Research Council UK Awards MC_UU_12020/5 and MC_UU_12024/2 (to P.J.M.) and Award MR/J004324/1 (to S.J.C.), a Monument Trust Discovery Award from Parkinson's UK Grants J-0901 and J-1403, and a Wellcome Trust Investigator Award 101821 (to P.J.M.).

- 22. Calipari ES, Huggins KN, Mathews TA, Jones SR (2012) Conserved dorsal-ventral gradient of dopamine release and uptake rate in mice, rats and rhesus macaques. Neurochem Int 61(7):986-991.
- 23. Gerfen CR, Surmeier DJ (2011) Modulation of striatal projection systems by dopamine. Annu Rev Neurosci 34:441–466.
- 24. Beaulieu J-M, Gainetdinov RR (2011) The physiology, signaling, and pharmacology of dopamine receptors. Pharmacol Rev 63(1):182-217
- 25. Bertran-Gonzalez J, et al. (2008) Opposing patterns of signaling activation in dopamine D1 and D2 receptor-expressing striatal neurons in response to cocaine and haloperidol. J Neurosci 28(22):5671-5685.
- 26. Svenningsson P, et al. (2000) Regulation of the phosphorylation of the dopamine- and cAMP-regulated phosphoprotein of 32 kDa in vivo by dopamine D1, dopamine D2, and adenosine A2A receptors. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 97(4):1856-1860.
- 27. Marcott PF. Mamaligas AA. Ford CP (2014) Phasic dopamine release drives rapid activation of striatal D2-receptors. Neuron 84(1):164-176.
- 28. Janezic S, et al. (2013) Deficits in dopaminergic transmission precede neuron loss and dysfunction in a new Parkinson model. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 110(42): E4016-E4025
- 29. Marinelli M, McCutcheon JE (2014) Heterogeneity of dopamine neuron activity across traits and states. Neuroscience 282C:176-197.
- 30. Lammel S, et al. (2008) Unique properties of mesoprefrontal neurons within a dual mesocorticolimbic dopamine system. Neuron 57(5):760-773.
- 31. Lammel S, Ion DI, Roeper J, Malenka RC (2011) Projection-specific modulation of dopamine neuron synapses by aversive and rewarding stimuli. Neuron 70(5):855-862.
- 32. Beier KT, et al. (2015) Circuit Architecture of VTA Dopamine Neurons Revealed by Systematic Input-Output Mapping. Cell 162(3):622-634.
- 33. Lerner TN, et al. (2015) Intact-Brain Analyses Reveal Distinct Information Carried by SNc Dopamine Subcircuits. Cell 162(3):635-647.
- 34. Brischoux F, Chakraborty S, Brierley DI, Ungless MA (2009) Phasic excitation of dopamine neurons in ventral VTA by noxious stimuli. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 106(12):4894-4899.
- 35. Cohen JY, Haesler S, Vong L, Lowell BB, Uchida N (2012) Neuron-type-specific signals for reward and punishment in the ventral tegmental area. Nature 482(7383):85-88.
- 36. Ungless MA, Magill PJ, Bolam JP (2004) Uniform inhibition of dopamine neurons in the ventral tegmental area by aversive stimuli. Science 303(5666):2040-2042.
- 37. Brown MTC, Henny P, Bolam JP, Magill PJ (2009) Activity of neurochemically heterogeneous dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra during spontaneous and driven changes in brain state. J Neurosci 29(9):2915-2925.
- 38. Joshua M, Adler A, Mitelman R, Vaadia E, Bergman H (2008) Midbrain dopaminergic neurons and striatal cholinergic interneurons encode the difference between reward and aversive events at different epochs of probabilistic classical conditioning trials. J Neurosci 28(45):11673-11684.
- 39. Bayer HM, Glimcher PW (2005) Midbrain dopamine neurons encode a quantitative reward prediction error signal. Neuron 47(1):129-141.

- Lobb CJ, Wilson CJ, Paladini CA (2010) A dynamic role for GABA receptors on the firing pattern of midbrain dopaminergic neurons. J Neurophysiol 104(1): 403–413.
- Henny P, et al. (2012) Structural correlates of heterogeneous in vivo activity of midbrain dopaminergic neurons. Nat Neurosci 15(4):613–619.
- 42. Watabe-Uchida M, Zhu L, Ogawa SK, Vamanrao A, Uchida N (2012) Whole-brain mapping of direct inputs to midbrain dopamine neurons. *Neuron* 74(5):858–873.
- Sutton RS, Barto AG (1998) Reinforcement Learning: An Introduction (MIT Press, Cambridge, MA).
- Schmitz Y, Schmauss C, Sulzer D (2002) Altered dopamine release and uptake kinetics in mice lacking D2 receptors. J Neurosci 22(18):8002–8009.
- 45. Redgrave P, Prescott TJ, Gurney K (1999) The basal ganglia: A vertebrate solution to the selection problem? *Neuroscience* 89(4):1009–1023.
- Mink JW (1996) The basal ganglia: Focused selection and inhibition of competing motor programs. Prog Neurobiol 50(4):381–425.
- Kupchik YM, et al. (2015) Coding the direct/indirect pathways by D1 and D2 receptors is not valid for accumbens projections. Nat Neurosci 18(9):1230–1232.
- Tritsch NX, Ding JB, Sabatini BL (2012) Dopaminergic neurons inhibit striatal output through non-canonical release of GABA. *Nature* 490(7419):262–266.
- 49. Fu Y, et al. (2012) A cytoarchitectonic and chemoarchitectonic analysis of the dopamine cell groups in the substantia nigra, ventral tegmental area, and retrorubral field in the mouse. *Brain Struct Funct* 217(2):591–612.
- 50. Paxinos G, Franklin KBJ (2013) *The Mouse Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates* (Elsevier, London), 4th Ed.
- Holt GR, Softky WR, Koch C, Douglas RJ (1996) Comparison of discharge variability in vitro and in vivo in cat visual cortex neurons. J Neurophysiol 75(5):1806–1814.

